

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,107	09/19/2003	Yuichi Takamine	36856.1127	6179	
7.	590 12/10/2004		EXAMINER		
KEATING & BENNETT LLP			BUDD, MARK OSBORNE		
Suite 312 10400 Eaton Place			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fairfax, VA 22030			2834		

DATE MAILED: 12/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	- N-	Annlinanta				
				Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/665,10		TAKAMINE, YUICHI				
	Onice Action Summary	Examiner	`\	Art Unit				
- <u></u>	- <del> </del>	Mark Bud		2834				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by apply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no eviction. s, a reply within the stat period will apply and w statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) day: Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status		•						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•				
		This action is n	on-final.	,				
-	,—	_		secution as to the mer	rits is			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	•		,,					
· _	on of Claims	•						
	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	thdrawn from co	nsideration.	•				
· <u> </u>	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-39</u> is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1,3-11,13-21 and 23-30</u> is/are re	ejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>2,12 and 22</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election r	equirement.					
Application	on Papers							
9) 🗆 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	•		da= 25 11 C C C 440(=)	(4) (5)				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo ☑ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	oreign priority uni	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (t).				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	monto bovo boo	n received					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
·				d in this National Stay	e			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
and analytica actained office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.								
Attachment								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
2) Notice of Dramsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9-19-03</u> . 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/665,107

Art Unit: 2834

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-11, 13-22 and 23-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takamine.

Takamine (figs. 1, 13, 21, 24, 31, 33, 34, 37 and 39 are teaches a surface acoustic wave device comprising a filer with at least two IDT's (201,202), surface acoustic wave resonators including IDT's sandwiched between reflectors (#221, #222). Column 8, lines 53-64 teaches that the construction of #221 and #222 can be made different to "improve the degree of balance". While not explicitly stating which parameters to make different (although duty factor, number of fingers and finger spacing are mentioned), with transducer finger design would occur to one of ordinary skill in the art. Such factors as spacing, (pitch) materials used, finger overlap, etc are all well known (official notice taken). Thus to select which specific parameters to mismatch, finger number, transducer numbers etc would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 2, 12 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 31-31-39 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2834

Further, cited are Abbott (fig. 1) Strauss (fig. 5) and Shibata (figs. 42 and 44).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark O. Budd whose telephone number is (571)272-2019. The examiner can normally be reached on M. Budd from 6am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor R. Ramirez, can be reached on \*\*\*. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Budd/ds

12/02/04